IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA

REV. JOHN LEE MORRIS, SR.,)	
DI : 4:00)	
Plaintiff,)	
v.)	1:06CV578
SUPREME COURT OF THE)	
UNITED STATES, et al.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

O-R-D-E-R

Before this Court is Plaintiff's "Motion for this Matter to Come before the Chief Judge of this District Court." *See* Pleading No. 10. Plaintiff's *pro se* filing is vague and ambiguous in large part.

One construction of the motion is a request for recusal by the undersigned. The motion is **DENIED** since Plaintiff has shown no basis for disqualification. To the extent Plaintiff seeks consideration of this case by the Chief Judge, such a request is most since, in fact, Chief Judge James A. Beaty, Jr., entered the final judgment in this case on September 28, 2006.



Date: February 5, 2007